Benefit from Photon Recycling at the Maximum-Power Point of State-of-the-Art Perovskite Solar Cells
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Photon recycling is required for a solar cell to achieve an open-circuit voltage ($V_{OC}$) and power conversion efficiency (PCE) approaching the Shockley-Queisser theoretical limit. The achievable performance gains from photon recycling in metal halide perovskite solar cells remain uncertain due to high variability in material quality and the nonradiative recombination rate. We quantify the enhancement due to photon recycling for state-of-the-art perovskite Cs0.05(MA0.17FA0.83)0.95Pb(I0.83Br0.17)3 (triple-cation) films and corresponding solar cells. We show that, at the maximum power point (MPP), the absolute PCE can increase up to 2.0% in the radiative limit, primarily due to a 77 mV increase in ($V_{MPP}$). For this photoactive layer, even with finite nonradiative recombination, benefits from photon recycling can be achieved when nonradiative lifetimes and external light-emitting diode (LED) electroluminescence efficiencies, $Q_{LED}$, exceed 2 μs and 10%, respectively. This analysis quantifies the significance of photon recycling in boosting the real-world performance of perovskite solar cells toward theoretical limits.
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I. INTRODUCTION

Improving solar cell power conversion efficiency (PCE) requires both optimization of device architectures and an understanding of fundamental photophysics. For example, PCEs of GaAs cells increased from 25.1% to 28.8% through photon management [1–4]. In luminescent optoelectronic materials, such as GaAs, photons can undergo multiple absorption and emission events before escaping, a phenomenon called photon recycling. During steady-state operation, photon recycling increases the charge carrier density within the photoactive cell layers, resulting in a higher quasi-Fermi level energy splitting ($\mu$) and increased open-circuit voltage ($V_{OC}$) through $\mu = qV_{OC}$ [4–7]. Photon recycling also slows the external photon emission rate, decreasing the radiative saturation current [8,9]. Together, these effects can boost the performance of high-efficiency solar cells toward the Shockley-Queisser theoretical limit. To take advantage of photon recycling, a photovoltaic (PV) absorber material must exhibit a small Stokes shift, strong band-edge absorption, and high photoluminescence quantum efficiency (PLQE) [3–5,10–12].

Relatively low PLQEs have thus far limited the extent of photon recycling observed in perovskite thin films and single crystals [13–15]. Low PLQEs of <15% result from high first-order nonradiative recombination rates, ($k_1$) on the order of $10^{10}$–$10^9$ s$^{-1}$, which are associated with trap state densities of $10^{15}$–$10^{17}$ cm$^{-3}$ [16–18]. For example, Pazos-Outón et al. demonstrated that the average photon only undergoes one recycling event in a typical CH$_3$NH$_3$PbI$_3$ film, but predicted that up to 25 recycling events could be sustained with a sufficiently high-quality sample [13]. This is in contrast to GaAs films used in state-of-the-art PV devices, where an average photon can participate in up to 50 recycling events [3]. In thick perovskite single crystals, several reports have used time-resolved photoluminescence spectroscopy to show a characteristic redshift in emission spectra over time due to photon recycling [13]. This phenomenon is expected to be efficient in single crystals, which exhibit low bulk defect densities (approximately $10^{10}$ cm$^{-3}$). However, the high surface recombination velocities of 5800 cm s$^{-1}$ lead to rapid quenching of excess carriers, diminishing the probability of photon recycling [14,19–23].

Passivated perovskite thin films with record-low nonradiative recombination rates and defect densities have achieved internal PLQEs exceeding 90% [18,24,25]—approaching the highest-quality double-
heterostructured GaAs films [26]. These recent advances in material quality should enable improved photon recycling and light management in perovskite devices, but thus far, it has been unclear how to realize practical efficiency gains. Furthermore, other works have only considered the impact of photon recycling on perovskite solar cells at open circuit, where the extent of recycling differs significantly from operation at the maximum power point (MPP). Under operation, rapid charge extraction reduces the steady-state carrier density, allowing nonradiative processes to compete with radiative recombination and photon recycling. The practical importance of photon recycling in perovskite solar cells thus remains unclear.

Here, we perform a theoretical analysis of photon recycling in state-of-the-art \( \text{Cs}_0.05\text{MA}_{0.17}\text{FA}_{0.83}\text{Pb}(\text{I}_0.83\text{Br}_{0.17})_3 \) (triple-cation) films, which, in PV devices, have demonstrated record efficiencies and high stability [27,28]. We examine the impact of photon recycling at the MPP with varying first-order nonradiative recombination rates and external emission efficiencies [29,30]. The analysis is extended to \( \text{CH}_3\text{NH}_3\text{PbI}_3 \) films, which have been extensively studied previously, serving as a benchmark to compare emerging perovskite formula-tions (see Supplemental Material Figs. S21–S28 [31]). Our model reveals the changes in carrier density and luminescence efficiency at MPP attributable to photon recycling and identifies optoelectronic material quality targets—that is, external luminescence quantum efficiency and nonradiative recombination rates—toward which the community can strive. Quantifying these values is critical, as several reports have shown that devices with low nonradiative recombination can achieve \( \text{V}_{\text{OC}} \) deficits below 0.4 V, which is the deficit regime in which GaAs solar cells began to benefit from photon recycling [2,32–36].

II. PHOTON RECYCLING IN THE DETAILED BALANCE MODEL

A. Radiative limit

To quantify the effect of photon recycling on device performance, current-voltage (\( J-V \)) curves are simulated using a detailed balance model and experimentally determined absorption coefficient and refractive index data for \( \text{Cs}_0.05\text{MA}_{0.17}\text{FA}_{0.83}\text{Pb}(\text{I}_0.83\text{Br}_{0.17})_3 \) (see Fig. S8 within the Supplemental Material [31]) [16,29]. In the Supplemental Material, we discuss key assumptions used in the model, which are consistent with previous analyses [7,13,29,31,37–39].

We first perform a detailed balance calculation in the radiative limit (i.e., no nonradiative recombination, \( k_1 = 0 \)) by equating the generation current with the recombination and extraction currents. The total current (\( J_{\text{total}} \)) as a function of voltage (\( V \)) is then defined as

\[
J_{\text{total}}(V) = J_{\text{SC}} - J_{\text{rad,ext}}^0(V),
\]

where \( J_{\text{SC}} \) is the short-circuit current density

\[
J_{\text{SC}} = q \int_0^\infty a(E) \phi_{\text{sun}}(E) dE,
\]

and \( J_{\text{rad,ext}}^0 \) is the external radiative saturation current, defined as the photon flux that escapes the film into the surrounding atmosphere multiplied by the fundamental charge, \( q \),

\[
J_{\text{rad,ext}}^0(V) = q \pi e^{qV/kT} \int_0^\infty a(E) \phi_{\text{BB}}(E) dE,
\]

where \( k \) is the Boltzmann constant, \( T \) is the cell temperature, \( a(E) \) is the absorptivity \( \{a(E) = 1 - \exp[-2 \cdot \alpha(E) \cdot d]\} \) as previously defined elsewhere [3,40], \( d \) is the film thickness (\( d = 500 \, \text{nm} \)), \( \alpha(E) \) is the energy-dependent absorption coefficient, \( \phi_{\text{BB}}(E) \) is the AM1.5 spectral photon flux, and \( \phi_{\text{BB}}(E) \) is the blackbody spectral photon flux all as a function of energy, \( E \).

Importantly, and as discussed in depth previously [38,39], photon recycling is implicit in the Shockley-Queisser detailed balance calculation, where the total photon flux emitted from the front surface of the device is used to determine the external radiative saturation current: \( J_{\text{rad,ext}}^0 \) [Eq. (3)]. Here, only the emitted photons in the escape cone contribute to \( J_{\text{rad,ext}}^0 \), regardless of the number of photon recycling events before escape (see Supplemental Material for discussion of the escape cone [31]) [37,41].

Next, we determine the benefits of photon recycling by considering the radiative saturation current when photon recycling is not included in the calculation [37,42]. The internal radiative saturation current (\( J_{\text{rad,int}}^0 \)) is similar to the external radiative saturation current, but is enhanced by the photon mode density within the photoactive dielectric medium characterized by the index of refraction, \( n(E) \), absorption coefficient, and integrated over the sphere of emission [38]. Equation (4) describes a microscopic view of recombination within the active region where all photons immediately escape into the surrounding environment [37,41]

\[
J_{\text{rad,int}}^0(V) = q e^{qV/kT} \int_0^\infty 4\pi n^2(E) \alpha(E) \phi_{\text{BB}}(E) dE.
\]

We use \( J_{\text{rad,int}}^0 \) to calculate the intrinsic carrier density [van Roosebroeck-Shockley relationship, Eq. (5)] from standard reported second-order internal radiative recombination rate constants (\( k_{\text{int}}^0 \)) for triple-cation films, \( k_{\text{int}}^0 = 2 \times 10^{-10} \, \text{cm}^{-3} \, \text{s}^{-1} \) [17,29,43]. The radiative recombination rate constant is an intrinsic property for a
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FIG. 1. Detailed-balance simulation of $J-V$ curves for an ideal Cs$_{0.05}$(MA$_{0.17}$FA$_{0.83}$)$_{0.95}$Pb(I$_{0.83}$Br$_{0.17}$)$_3$ perovskite photovoltaic device in the radiative limit (no nonradiative recombination) with (red trace) and without (black dashed trace) photon recycling (PR).

Table I shows that photon recycling improves PV device performance at both open-circuit and MPP conditions. The $V_{OC}$ increase of $\Delta V_{PR}^{OC} = 70$ mV calculated in this work is consistent with the 70 mV value predicted by Kirchhart et al. for a planar device architecture with Beer-Lambert absorption [29]. Extending beyond previous studies, our full $J-V$ simulation also shows that photon recycling improves the MPP voltage $V_{MPP}$ ($\Delta V_{PR}^{MPP} = 80$ mV) and the FF, producing an absolute increase in PCE of 2.0%. We note that the short-circuit current density remains unchanged because, with or without photon recycling, $J_{SC}$ only depends on the absorptivity of the material and the solar irradiance.

One highlight of this analysis is that the maximum $V_{OC}$ achievable without photon recycling is only 1.22 V for both the triple-cation and CH$_3$NH$_3$PbI$_3$ films (see Supplemental Material Fig. S22 [31])—a voltage deficit of 0.38 V for each formulation. Our results suggest that perovskite PVs (with a band gap of 1.6 eV) exhibiting $V_{OC} > 1.22$ V and $V_{MPP} = 1.12$ V benefit from photon recycling [32,36]. In this regard, Liu et al. recently reported a record-setting $V_{OC} = 1.26$ V in CH$_3$NH$_3$PbI$_3$ devices, for which our calculations suggest 40 meV can be attributed to photon recycling effects.

B. Incorporating nonradiative recombination

Theoretical photovoltaic performance limits are useful for setting efficiency targets, but most absorber layers perform far from the radiative limit due to nonradiative losses. Perovskites are no exception—typical films exhibit PLQEs of <15% at 1-sun equivalent generation, with $k_1$ ranging from $10^8$ to $10^9$ s$^{-1}$, depending on chemical composition and processing methods [13,16,17]. However, with recently developed passivation techniques, $k_1$ values have been decreasing and will likely continue to decrease as passivation mechanisms are better understood and implemented. For example, a low nonradiative recombination rate of $k_1 = 1.7 \times 10^5$ s$^{-1}$ has been reported for tri-n-octylphosphine oxide (TOPO)-treated CH$_3$NH$_3$PbI$_3$ films [18].

To adapt our model to nonidealized scenarios, we modify the saturation current density [Eqs. (3) and (4)] to account for nonradiative Shockley-Read-Hall (SRH) and

\[
J_{int} = \int_0^\infty 4\pi n_i^2(E) \alpha(E) \Phi_{BB}(E) dE. \tag{5}
\]

In order to make our calculations relevant for real-world perovskite devices exhibiting band tailing likely due to disorder [46,47], we experimentally determine the energy-dependent absorption coefficient and refractive index using photothermal deflection spectroscopy and ellipsometry (see Figs. S8 and S19 within the Supplemental Material [31]). Figure 1 shows the theoretical current-voltage ($J-V$) curves for a triple-cation perovskite solar cell (see Supplemental Material for equivalent curves with CH$_3$NH$_3$PbI$_3$ [31]) in the radiative limit with and without photon recycling, calculated using the external and internal radiative saturation currents, respectively. We note that the calculated maximum efficiency with photon recycling of 30.2% corroborates previously reported theoretical limits for a similar band-gap CH$_3$NH$_3$PbI$_3$ perovskite solar cell [29], where the theoretical $J_{SC}$ (25.77 mA cm$^{-2}$) is only slightly higher than what has been achieved experimentally (25.40 mA cm$^{-2}$ [48]). These results emphasize the need to optimize $V_{OC}$ and fill factor (FF) through reducing nonradiative recombination and harnessing photon recycling.

TABLE I. $J-V$ characteristics and PCE extracted from the simulated $J-V$ curve in the radiative limit with and without PR. PR leads primarily to enhancements in the MPP operating voltage ($V_{MPP}$).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$J_{SC}$ (mA/cm$^2$)</th>
<th>$V_{OC}$ (V)</th>
<th>FF</th>
<th>$J_{MPP}$ (mA/cm$^2$)</th>
<th>$V_{MPP}$ (V)</th>
<th>PCE (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>No PR</td>
<td>25.77</td>
<td>1.22</td>
<td>0.899</td>
<td>25.19</td>
<td>1.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>With PR</td>
<td>25.77</td>
<td>1.29</td>
<td>0.906</td>
<td>25.23</td>
<td>1.20</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Given perovskite film composition and is not varied in this analysis. We find the external radiative recombination rate constant ($k_{ext}^2$) and intrinsic carrier density to be $k_{ext}^2 = 1 \times 10^{-11}$ cm$^{-3}$ s$^{-1}$ and $n_i = 2.3 \times 10^5$ cm$^{-3}$, respectively (see Supplemental Material for the determination of $k_{ext}^2$ and $n_i$ [31]), which agree with experimental reports considering photon recycling effects [17,24,43–45].
Auger recombination, as previously reported by Pazos-Outón et al. [Eq. (6)] [29]

\[ J_0 = J_0^{\text{rad}} + J_0^{\text{nonrad}} = J_0^{\text{rad}} + J_{\text{SRH}} + J_A, \]  

where \( J_0^{\text{rad}} \) is the radiative recombination rate (external or internal) and \( J_0^{\text{nonrad}} \) is the sum of the nonradiative, first-order SRH \( (J_{\text{SRH}}) \) and nonradiative, third-order Auger \( (J_A) \) recombination currents. The SRH and Auger recombination rates are described for a carrier density \( (n) \) in quasithermal equilibrium using the law of mass action [Eq. (7)]

\[
n(V) = n_i e^{qV/2kT}, \tag{7}
\]

\[
J_{\text{SRH}}(V) = qk_1 n(V)d, \tag{8}
\]

\[
J_A(V) = qk_3 n^3(V)d, \tag{9}
\]

where \( n_i \) is the intrinsic carrier density and \( k_1 \) and \( k_3 \) are the first-order SRH and third-order Auger recombination rate constants, respectively.

Figure 2 shows the impact of different nonradiative recombination values on device performance with and without photon recycling. For these calculations, we use the radiative rate of \( k_2^{\text{int}} = 2 \times 10^{-10} \text{ cm}^3 \text{ s}^{-1} \) and the Auger rate of \( k_3 = 1 \times 10^{-28} \text{ cm}^6 \text{ s}^{-1} \), which are intrinsic material properties that do not vary significantly with film quality (see Supplemental Material for discussion on model sensitivity to \( k_2^{\text{int}} \) and \( k_3 \) [31]) [17,43]. Figure 2(a) shows the simulated \( J-V \) curves with \( k_1 = 1 \times 10^4 \text{ s}^{-1} \), which closely resembles those reported in Fig. 1 \((k_1 = 0)\), suggesting that radiative recombination outcompetes nonradiative recombination and the benefits of photon recycling are, therefore, observed at this low \( k_1 \). Figure 2(b) shows that, as \( k_1 \) increases to \( 2 \times 10^5 \text{ s}^{-1} \), the effect of photon recycling is greatly reduced and eventually becomes negligible when nonradiative rates approach \( 3 \times 10^6 \text{ s}^{-1} \) [Fig. 2(c)].

Figure 2(d) shows both the \( V_{\text{OC}} \) and \( V_{\text{MPP}} \) with and without photon recycling for varying \( k_1 \) values. For \( k_1 \) exceeding a threshold value of \( 2 \times 10^6 \text{ s}^{-1} \) (i.e., nonradiative lifetime, \( \tau_1 < 500 \text{ ns} \)), we observe no increase in \( V_{\text{OC}} \) and \( V_{\text{MPP}} \) with photon recycling. For \( k_1 \) between \( 7 \times 10^5 \text{ s}^{-1} \) and \( 2 \times 10^6 \text{ s}^{-1} \) (i.e., \( \tau_1 = 500 - 1430 \text{ ns} \)), photon recycling can improve \( V_{\text{OC}} \), but the fill-factor decreases, and therefore, PCE enhancements are negligible (see Fig. S9 within the Supplemental Material [31]). With the full \( J-V \) simulation, we can see that \( V_{\text{MPP}} \) is unaffected at these values. Only when \( k_1 \) is reduced below \( 7 \times 10^5 \text{ s}^{-1} \) \((\tau_1 > 1430 \text{ ns})\) does photon recycling improve the MPP and efficiency (Figs. S9 and S10). For example, at \( k_1 = 2 \times 10^5 \text{ s}^{-1} \) [Fig. 2(b)], photon recycling increases \( V_{\text{OC}} \) by 50 mV, but \( V_{\text{MPP}} \) by only 20 mV (Table II). We note that for \( k_1 = 3 \times 10^6 \text{ s}^{-1} \),
TABLE II. Device parameters extracted from the simulated J-V curves for $k_1 = 1 \times 10^4$, $2 \times 10^5$, and $3 \times 10^6$ s$^{-1}$ ($k_2^{\text{int}} = 2 \times 10^{-10}$ cm$^3$ s$^{-1}$ and $k_3 = 1 \times 10^{-28}$ cm$^6$ s$^{-1}$) with and without PR.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$k_1$ (s$^{-1}$)</th>
<th>No PR</th>
<th>With PR</th>
<th>No PR</th>
<th>With PR</th>
<th>No PR</th>
<th>With PR</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$1 \times 10^4$</td>
<td>25.77</td>
<td>0.897</td>
<td>25.13</td>
<td>1.12</td>
<td>28.2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$2 \times 10^5$</td>
<td>25.77</td>
<td>0.900</td>
<td>25.17</td>
<td>1.19</td>
<td>30.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$3 \times 10^6$</td>
<td>25.77</td>
<td>0.824</td>
<td>24.50</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>24.4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The PCE is comparable to current record-performing devices [49].

C. Competition between radiative and nonradiative recombination currents

To better understand the recombination processes governing PV device behavior with and without photon recycling, we break down the J-V curve from Fig. 2(b) ($k_1 = 2 \times 10^5$ s$^{-1}$) into its individual recombination components. The absolute magnitude is calculated using Eqs. (3), (4), (8), and (9), and the fraction of each recombination mechanism is its magnitude divided by the total recombination current (i.e., $J_{\text{SRH,rad}}/J_{\text{tot}}$).

Figures 3(a) and 3(b) show $J_{\text{rad,int}}$ and $J_{\text{rad,ext}}$ as a function of voltage, along with $J_{\text{SRH}}$ and $J_A$, which are the SRH and Auger nonradiative pathways, respectively. $J_{\text{SRH}}$ and $J_A$ have the same functional form with or without photon recycling, as neither depend on the radiative saturation current [Eqs. (8) and (9)]. Photon recycling requires the
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reabsorption of emitted photons; if the recombination process is nonradiative (i.e., SRH, Auger), no photons are generated for the reabsorption process. We observe that photon recycling shifts radiative recombination to higher onset voltages, and therefore, reduces the magnitude of the radiative saturation current at MPP, leading to increases in $V_{OC}$ and $V_{MPP}$ with photon recycling (Table II, $k_1 = 2 \times 10^5 \text{s}^{-1}$). Figures 3(c) and 3(d) give further insight into these results, where the fractions of each recombination current are compared as a function of voltage. With photon recycling, SRH recombination becomes the limiting pathway (solid blue and red traces). To harness photon recycling in devices, it is essential to reduce nonradiative recombination pathways through improved processing or passivation techniques. For example, alkali metal salt additives and interfacial passivation layers have yielded low voltage-deficit devices (e.g., $<0.35 \text{ V}$), which we predict currently benefit from, but do not fully harness, photon recycling [36,50].

We note that the radiative recombination fraction without photon recycling in Fig. 3(c) is equal to the internal luminescence quantum efficiency ($Q_{\text{lum}}^i$), which has similarly been defined elsewhere [38]

$$Q_{\text{lum}}^i(V) = \frac{J_0^{\text{rad,int}}(V)}{J_{\text{SRH}}(V) + J_0^{\text{rad,int}}(V) + J_A(V)}.$$(10)

The radiative recombination fraction with photon recycling in Fig. 3(d) yields the external light-emitting diode (LED) electroluminescence efficiency ($Q_{\text{LED}}^e$), which is connected to the mean probability of photon escape from the film ($P_{\text{esc}}$) through a geometric series [38]

$$Q_{\text{LED}}^e(V) = \frac{P_{\text{esc}}Q_{\text{lum}}(V)}{1 - Q_{\text{lum}}(V)(1 - P_{\text{esc}})}.$$(11)

Here, the escape probability ($P_{\text{esc}}$) can be defined as the ratio of the external to the internal radiative saturation current [38]

$$P_{\text{esc}} = \frac{J_0^{\text{rad,ext}}}{J_0^{\text{rad,int}}}.$$(12)

III. EXTERNAL ELECTROLUMINESCENCE EFFICIENCY ENHANCEMENTS

$Q_{\text{LED}}^e$ is a function of the injection current, and thus it is necessary to denote both the injection current and corresponding voltage at which the current is achieved for a

![Figure 4](image4.png)

FIG. 4. The voltage with PR ($V_{PR}$) for $P_{\text{esc}} = 4.7\%$ at the MPP and open circuit is shown as a function of $Q_{\text{LED}}^e(V_{OC})$, which, as nonradiative recombination decreases, approaches unity. Inset: $\Delta V_{PR}$ for $P_{\text{esc}} = 4.7\%$ at MPP and open circuit as a function of $Q_{\text{LED}}^e(V_{OC})$.

![Figure 5](image5.png)

FIG. 5. The effect of PR on the MPP steady-state carrier density and $Q_{\text{LED}}^e(V_{OC})$ as a function of $k_1$ for (a) $P_{\text{esc}} = 4.7\%$, (b) $9.4\%$, and (c) $14.1\%$. 

014017-6
FIG. 6. (a) The $V_{\text{OC}}$ with PR in the radiative limit ($V_{\text{OC}}^{\text{rad}}$) is shown along with (b) the nonradiative subtractive effect on $V_{\text{max}}^{\text{OC}}$ ($V_{\text{OC}}^{\text{nonrad}}$). Combined, $V_{\text{OC}}^{\text{rad}} + V_{\text{OC}}^{\text{nonrad}}$ yield (c) the total $V_{\text{max}}^{\text{OC}}$ as a function of $k_1$ and $P_{\text{esc}}$, with a dashed line at $k_1 = 1 \times 10^5 \text{ s}^{-1}$ showing that increasing $P_{\text{esc}}$ for a fixed $Q_{\text{LED}}$ decreases $V_{\text{OC}}$.

given $Q_{\text{LED}}^{\text{LED}}$. Due to the reciprocity relations that link optical output to electrical input, $Q_{\text{LED}}^{\text{LED}}$ values are often measured at an injection current equivalent to the photocurrent [51,52]. Unless otherwise stated, we report $Q_{\text{LED}}^{\text{LED}}$ values calculated with an injection current equivalent to $J_{\text{SC}}$ that is, applied voltage equal to $V_{\text{OC}}$, $Q_{\text{LED}}^{\text{LED}}(V_{\text{OC}})$. Considering Eq. (11), Fig. 3(d) shows that a device with $k_1 = 2 \times 10^5 \text{ s}^{-1}$ (i.e., $\tau_1 = 5 \mu\text{s}$) should demonstrate a $Q_{\text{LED}}^{\text{LED}}(V_{\text{OC}})$ of 31.5%. Importantly, the external emission efficiency of a solar cell is a metric that has been shown to directly correlate with power conversion efficiency, and therefore, serves as a useful optimization parameter [53,54]. Eqs. (9) and (10) provide two apparent routes: decreasing $J_{\text{SRH}}$ and $J_A$ and/or increasing $P_{\text{esc}}$ to increase $Q_{\text{LED}}^{\text{LED}}(V_{\text{OC}})$. To evaluate which method best capitalizes on the benefits of photon recycling, we examine the dependence of $Q_{\text{LED}}^{\text{LED}}(V_{\text{OC}})$ and $P_{\text{esc}}$ on $V_{\text{OC}}$ and $V_{\text{MPP}}$.

A. Modification of nonradiative recombination

First, we consider how $Q_{\text{LED}}^{\text{LED}}(V_{\text{OC}})$ and photovoltage are affected by decreasing $J_{\text{SRH}}$ [i.e., varying $k_1$ in Eq. (8)], for a fixed escape probability ($P_{\text{esc}} = 4.7\%$). Figure 4 shows $Q_{\text{LED}}^{\text{LED}}(V_{\text{OC}})$ increases with decreasing
k_1$, resulting in voltage enhancements at open circuit and MPP. We report a photon recycling threshold of $Q_e^{\text{LED}}(V_{\text{OC}}) \geq 0.3\%$ and significant performance improvements for $Q_e^{\text{LED}}(V_{\text{OC}}) = 10\%$, yielding $\Delta V_{\text{PR}} = 36$ mV and $\Delta V_{\text{PR}} = 9$ mV (Fig. 4). Recently, Liu et al. reported a $Q_e^{\text{LED}}(V_{\text{OC}})$ of $7.5 \pm 2.5\%$ for CH$_3$NH$_3$PbI$_3$ devices achieving a $V_{\text{OC}}$ of 1.26 V. This experimental $V_{\text{OC}}$ is higher than the maximum achievable theoretical $V_{\text{OC}}$ in the radiative limit without photon recycling, indicating performance enhancements due to photon recycling [36].

**B. Modification of probability of photon escape**

Second, we consider how $Q_e^{\text{LED}}(V_{\text{OC}})$ and photovoltage (see below) are affected by increasing $P_{\text{esc}}$ and decreasing $k_1$. We note that the photovoltage is proportional to the steady-state carrier density and, therefore, an intuitive metric to compare across the multiple varying parameters. We calculate the carrier density using the law of mass action [Eq. (7)] as a function of $k_1$ and $P_{\text{esc}}$.

Figure 5(a) shows that at $P_{\text{esc}} = 4.7\%$ and low $k_1$ values, photon recycling increases the steady-state carrier density by a factor of four, from $8.5 \times 10^{13}$ to $3.7 \times 10^{15}$ cm$^{-3}$ at MPP. This high carrier density results from additional generation associated with the reabsorption of trapped photons—up to 18-suns equivalent at open circuit and $>1.4$ equivalent suns at MPP (see Figs. S12 and S13 for triple-cation and Fig. S27 for CH$_3$NH$_3$PbI$_3$ within the Supplemental Material [31]). Figure 5 shows that photon recycling allows $Q_e^{\text{LED}}(V_{\text{OC}})$ to exceed the escape probability (see Figs. S14 and S15 within the Supplemental Material [31]), a direct result from the multiple reabsorption events that randomize the photon propagation angle. While the emission efficiency can increase beyond $P_{\text{esc}}$ as nonradiative recombination decreases, $Q_e^{\text{LED}}(V_{\text{OC}})$ cannot reach 100% due to the higher fraction of Auger recombination at increased steady-state carrier densities, as shown in Figs. 3(c) and 3(d). A similar limiting effect for the efficiency droop at high applied voltages in GaN LEDs has been attributed to Auger recombination processes [55,56].

Next, we consider scenarios in which $P_{\text{esc}}$ is changed without significantly impacting the material absorptivity function (see Supplemental Material for model assumptions [31]). Figures 5(b) and 5(c) show the carrier density and $Q_e^{\text{LED}}(V_{\text{OC}})$ for $P_{\text{esc}} = 9.4\%$ and 14.1%. Here, the steady-state carrier density steadily decreases, while $Q_e^{\text{LED}}(V_{\text{OC}})$ approaches 90% with photon recycling due to a smaller contribution from Auger recombination at lower carrier densities. These results appear to counteract one another, as both a high steady-state carrier density and high $Q_e^{\text{LED}}(V_{\text{OC}})$ are desired.

This observation raises the question as to whether solely increasing the escape probability can enhance device performance and, in particular, open-circuit voltage [15,16]. The traditional definition of the maximum achievable open-circuit voltage (V$_{\text{OC}}^\text{max}$) is expressed as a function of the external LED electroluminescence efficiency, as described in Eq. (13) [38,51,57].

$$V_{\text{OC}}^\text{max} = V_{\text{rad}} + \frac{kT}{q} \ln [Q_e^{\text{LED}}(V_{\text{OC}})]. \quad (13)$$

Here, it appears that increasing $Q_e^{\text{LED}}(V_{\text{OC}})$ through enhancing the escape probability should allow $V_{\text{OC}}^\text{max}$ to approach $V_{\text{rad}}$—however, the implicit dependence of the radiative component ($V_{\text{rad}}$) on $P_{\text{esc}}$ is often overlooked. This dependence becomes clear if we equate $J_{\text{SC}}$ with the product of $J_{\text{rad,int}}$ and $P_{\text{esc}}$ [Eq. (14)], where it can be seen that this term decreases as the escape probability increases [58].

$$V_{\text{rad}} = \frac{kT}{q} \ln \left[ \frac{J_{\text{SC}}}{J_{\text{rad,int}}} \right] = \frac{kT}{q} \ln \left( \frac{J_{\text{SC}}}{P_{\text{esc}} J_{\text{rad,int}}} \right). \quad (14)$$

**IV. EFFECT OF NONRADIATIVE RECOMBINATION AND PROBABILITY OF ESCAPE ON $V_{\text{OC}}$**

To better understand the competition between $V_{\text{rad}}$ and $Q_e^{\text{LED}}(V_{\text{OC}})$ on $V_{\text{OC}}^\text{max}$, Figs. 6(a) and 6(b) show the magnitude of these terms as a function of $P_{\text{esc}}$ and $k_1$. As $P_{\text{esc}}$ increases for a given $k_1$, $V_{\text{rad}}$ decreases due to the enhanced light outcoupling, which increases the external radiative saturation current. Opposing this negative impact on $V_{\text{rad}}$ from $V_{\text{OC}}$,$V_{\text{rad}}$ also decreases with increasing $P_{\text{esc}}$, resulting in a smaller subtractive component from $V_{\text{OC}}^\text{max}$ as shown in Fig. 6(b). As $P_{\text{esc}}$ changes, the radiative and nonradiative terms vary in opposing directions.

Ultimately, $V_{\text{OC}}^\text{max}$ is dominated by the radiative dependence on $P_{\text{esc}}$, so $V_{\text{OC}}^\text{max}$ decreases monotonically with increasing $P_{\text{esc}}$ at a constant $k_1$ value [Fig. 6(c)] [16]. Thus, it is evident that simply increasing the outcoupling efficiency reduces output voltages due to the reduction in steady-state carrier density (cf. Fig. 5). We highlight that we only analyze the voltage in this simulation, and note that overall device performance may not track the changes in voltage if, for example, $J_{\text{SC}}$ also changes.

**V. CONCLUSION**

In summary, we present a rigorous method for evaluating the extent of and benefits from photon recycling in emerging perovskite absorbers by exploring device performance limits using experimentally determined optical constants and absorption for triple-cation films. This analysis investigates the effect of photon recycling on both $V_{\text{OC}}$ and operationally relevant MPP parameters, both in the radiative limit and with nonradiative recombination. Our simulations provide a framework for evaluating the
improvements attributable to photon recycling in standard current-voltage measurements. This analysis reveals that perovskite devices demonstrating voltage deficits of \(<0.38\ \text{V} \ [32,36]\) already benefit from photon recycling. This would mean that high-quality devices fabricated today may be further improved by reducing nonradiative recombination and/or modifying the escape probability to harness the benefits of photon recycling.

With recycling, photons waveguided within the film can be reabsorbed and reemitted in the escape cone, allowing \(Q^e_{\text{LED}}(V_{\text{OC}})\) to approach the intrinsic limit while maintaining a high steady-state carrier density. If \(Q^e_{\text{LED}}(V_{\text{OC}})\) is enhanced only by increasing \(P_{\text{esc}}\), the steady-state carrier density will decrease, resulting in a lower \(V_{\text{OC}}\). For triple-cation films, enhancements in \(V_{\text{OC}}\) and \(V_{\text{MPP}}\) are observed for \(k_1 < 2 \times 10^6 \text{ s}^{-1} (\tau_1 > 500 \text{ ns})\) and \(k_1 < 7 \times 10^5 \text{ s}^{-1} (\tau_1 > 1430 \text{ ns})\), respectively, while, for \(k_1 < 1 \times 10^4 \text{ s}^{-1} (\tau_1 > 100 \mu\text{s})\), further performance improvements become negligible. Our analysis, therefore, identifies a target nonradiative recombination rate for perovskite films of \(k_1 < 1 \times 10^4 \text{ s}^{-1}\). Below this threshold, the steady-state carrier density plateaus at \(4 \times 10^4 \text{ s}^{-1}\) \((\tau_1 > 7\times10^2 \text{ ns})\), resulting in a lower \(V_{\text{OC}}\). For example, perovskite material quality will likely need to be even better than these targets, as charge transport layers in devices introduce new pathways for interfacial recombination. Passivation methods and surface modifiers that reduce the number of defects at the interfaces and lead to favorable band alignment will be critical in minimizing nonradiative loss to fully harness photon recycling [18, 24, 25].
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